UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/533,353	06/16/2005	Eric F Bernstein	BERN-0082	7199
26259 LICATA & TY	7590 10/17/200 RRELL P.C.	EXAMINER		
66 E. MAIN ST		PAGONAKIS, ANNA		
MARLTON, NJ 08053			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1614	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/17/2008	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

poreilly@licataandtyrrell.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/533,353	BERNSTEIN, ERIC F			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	ANNA PAGONAKIS	1614			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Ju     This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☑ This     Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 5-7 is/are withdrawn for the above claim(s) 5-7 is/are withdrawn for the above claim(s) 1-4 is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are subject to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and acceptable are subjected to by the Examine 10).	relection requirement. r. epted or b)□ objected to by the B				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the one of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7 sheets, 5/11/2007; 4 sheets, 4/29/2005.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	nte			



Application No.

Application/Control Number: 10/533,353 Page 2

Art Unit: 1614

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1-4, in the reply filed on 7/18/2008 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that lack of unity was not found in the related application and further that all claims were searched during the PCT phase of examination. This is not found persuasive firstly because the standard for a finding of lack of unity is proper when there is no technical relationship among the inventions that involves at least one common or corresponding special technical feature. The expression special technical features that define the contribution which each claimed invention, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art. Since there is no contribution over the prior art, there is no common special technical feature amongst the claims. Secondly, reconsideration of the instant claims have found a lack of unity appropriate

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 1-7 are pending in the application. Claims 5-7 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected subject matter, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Claims 1-4 are currently under examination and the subject of this Office Action.

## Change of Examiner

The examiner assigned to the instant application has changed. The new examiner is Anna Pagonakis. Contact information is provided at the end of this Office Action.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention. It is unclear to the Examiner what compounds are "structurally similar to caffeine." This is not clear because a very limited set of compounds are defined as to which ones fit this description in the specification (page 4, lines 4-20). Routine identification as noted in the instant specification fails to support the written description of additional compounds.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schroer (U.S. 3,957,994, provided by Applicant), as evidenced by Perricone (U.S. 5,409,693).

\*\* The below explanation fails to point to support in Perricone regarding the "prior to exposure" limitation in instant claim 3. Should claim 3 therefore be rejected over Perricone?

Schroer teaches a composition comprising theophylline useful in the treatment of inflammation of the skin such as sunburn (abstract and column 2).

It is acknowledged that Schroer does not explicitly teach the protection against photoaging. However, this gap in the reference is properly filled with recourse to extrinsic evidence in accordance with MPEP 2131.01, which states that a secondary reference may be properly applied in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102 as long as the second reference is cited to: (1) prove the primary reference contains an

"enabled disclosure"; (2)explain the meaning of a term used in the primary reference; or (3) show that a characteristic not disclosed in the reference is inherent.

Perricone teaches a wide variety of skin diseases and skin conditions in which the skin has undergone some form of damage or accelerated aging can be traced, either directly or indirectly, to processes which either deplete or inhibit synthesis of collagen, and/or generate oxygen-containing free radicals, and/or oxidatively generate biologically active metabolites, generally via lipoxygenase pathways, which in turn either directly act upon the skin or mediate other processes which have adverse effect on the skin. Such is the case, for example, in radiation-induced skin damage, particularly ultraviolet radiation-induced skin damage (e.g., sunburn) where it appears possible that the transfer of energy from the radiation to the skin results in the generation of excited oxygen species, such as singlet oxygen, the superoxide anion, and hydroxyl radicals, that can damage lipid-rich membranes with the subsequent activation of the chemical mediators of inflammation and/or damage the skin cell membrane and DNA, and also where it appears that the radiation releases arachadonic acid which is then oxidized via two predominant pathways to produce either prostaglandins or leukotrines. Agents used for prevention and/or treatment of sunburn have been used prior to ultraviolet exposure in order to exert their protective effect (column 4, lines 60-64).

Thus given the teachings of Perricone, the very exposure to sunburn would have necessarily resulted in photoaging which meets Applicant's limitation directed to protection against photoaging.

#### Conclusion

No claim is found to be allowable.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ANNA PAGONAKIS whose telephone number is (571)270-3505. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday, 9am to 5pm EST.

Art Unit: 1614

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin

H. Marschel can be reached on 571-272-0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained

from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available

through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer

Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR

CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AP

/Ardin Marschel/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1614